

STATE OF MICHIGAN
COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of WHITNEY CAMPBELL and
DEANDRA CAMPBELL, Minors.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

V

JULIE NAVARRE,

Respondent-Appellant.

UNPUBLISHED
September 30, 2003

No. 247051
Dickinson Circuit Court
Family Division
LC No. 01-000512-NA

Before: Smolenski, P.J., and Murphy and Wilder, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent appeals as of right from the trial court order terminating her parental rights to the minor children under MCL 712A.19b(3)(c)(i), (g) and (j). We affirm. This appeal is being decided without oral argument pursuant to MCR 7.214(E)(1)(b).

The trial court did not clearly err in finding that the statutory grounds for termination were established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 5.974(I)¹; *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989). The condition that led to adjudication was respondent's alcohol abuse. Respondent refused to participate in and/or benefit from the services offered. As a result, the condition that led to adjudication continued to exist at the time of termination and there was no reasonable likelihood that the condition would be rectified within a reasonable time. Moreover, the alcohol abuse, lack of insight into the effects of abuse, and lack of insight into the

¹ Effective May 1, 2003, the court rules governing proceedings regarding juveniles were amended and moved to the new MCR subchapter 3.900. The provisions on termination of parental rights are now found in MCR 3.977. Specifically, the court rule governing the standard of review is found at MCR 3.977(J). In this opinion, we refer to the rules in effect at the time of the order terminating parental rights.

reasons that brought the children into care precluded respondent from providing proper care and custody of the children. Accordingly, the court did not err in finding that a statutory basis for termination of parental rights had been established.

Further, the evidence did not show that termination of respondent's parental rights was clearly not in the children's best interests. MCL 712A.19b(5); *In re Trejo*, 462 Mich 341, 356-357; 612 NW2d 407 (2000). Thus, the trial court did not err in terminating respondent's parental rights to her children.

Affirmed.

/s/ Michael R. Smolenski

/s/ William B. Murphy

/s/ Kurtis T. Wilder